

Pinpoint the Words that Win in Court with Context



When the success of litigation rides on the arguments you make in briefs or in court, words matter. With the advanced case-law language analytics of Context, available on **Lexis® and Lexis+™**, you can uncover vital litigation insights that can't be found anywhere else—down to the specific language, cases and judges your judge relies on most often.

Access additional resources on Context at [LexisNexis.com/Context](https://www.lexisnexis.com/Context).

Contents

Getting Started With Context	2
Judge Analytics	
Overview	3
Motion Language	4
Citation Patterns	5
Documents	6
Expert Witness Analytics	
Search by Name or by Area of Expertise.....	7
Overview	8
Federal Challenges.....	9
Court Analytics	
Overview	10
Motion Language	11
Citation Patterns	12
Documents	13
Company Analytics	
Overview	14
Litigation Analytics	15
News Analytics	16
Documents	17
Attorney Analytics	
Overview	18
Arguments	19
Documents	20



Build your strongest argument using language proven to persuade your judge.



Hire the best expert to testify on your behalf or build your case against opposing counsel's expert.



Anticipate case outcomes with data-driven analysis of your judge's or court's previous opinions.



Know the opposing party, from who will represent them to the motions they are likely to file.



See how opposing counsel has argued a case in the past to know how to win against them.

GETTING STARTED WITH CONTEXT

Sign in to the Lexis or Lexis+ service at [Lexis.com](https://www.lexis.com) and select **Context** from the product switcher. Trouble signing in? Try the **Forgot your ID or password?** link or call LexisNexis® Customer Support at 800-543-6862.

The screenshot illustrates the LexisNexis interface. At the top left, a product switcher (labeled 'A') is open, displaying a grid of various LexisNexis products. The 'Context' product is highlighted. The main header (labeled 'B') shows the current product as 'Context'. Below the header, a search page is displayed with the question 'Who would you like to analyze using language analytics?' and a search input field (labeled 'C') with a placeholder text: 'Enter the name of an expert, an area of expertise, judge, court, attorney or company'. A search button and a filter dropdown are also visible.

- A** **Product switcher:** Navigate to other LexisNexis products or services through the product switcher.
- B** **Product name:** Identify the current product you are working within.
- C** **Search:** Enter the name of an expert, an area of expertise, judge or court to run a search across millions of case-law documents. Apply a pre-search filter for experts, judges or courts if you would like to narrow search results to a given entity.

JUDGE ANALYTICS

Context Judge Analytics help you craft better briefs by giving you access to the language that is likely to resonate with your judge—language your judge uses regularly in granting or denying motions like the one you’re developing right now. Gain insights into other judges and cases your judge cites most often and finds most persuasive.

Overview Analytics Documents [Link to this page](#) [Create Report](#)

William Alsup
United States District Court, California Northern

A **Judicial Experience**
1999 - Present Judge
United States District Court, California Northern
Appointed by President William J. Clinton

Other Experience

- 1998 - 1999 Attorney
Private Practice
- 1998 - 1998 Special counsel
Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice
- 1980 - 1998 Attorney
Private Practice
- 1978 - 1980 Assistant to the solicitor general
U.S. Department of Justice
- 1972 - 1978 Attorney
Private Practice
- 1971 - 1972 Law Clerk

B **Opinions by Areas of Law**
(based on cases)

Area of Law	Number of Opinions
Civil Procedure	3886
Criminal Law & Procedure	1559
Civil Rights Law	939
Evidence	847
Constitutional Law	811

[View 5 More](#)

Opinions Per Year
(based on cases)

Line chart showing the number of opinions per year, with a peak around 400.

Overview

An Overview appears by default when a particular judge is selected from the search results, showing a snapshot of the judge’s experience and activity over time.

- A** View high-level information about the judge, including Education, Experience as an Attorney prior to taking the bench and Judicial Experience.
- B** Quickly view the judge’s Opinions by Areas of Law and Opinions Per Year to understand the judge’s background and experience in similar cases.
- C** Link to this page to share this profile or revisit at a later time.
- D** Create a full or custom report to export and share judge analytics with colleagues.

JUDGE ANALYTICS MOTION LANGUAGE

Context All Client: -None- History Help More

William Alsup
United States District Court, California Northern

Overview **Analytics** Documents [Link to this page](#) [Create Report](#)

Motion Language Citation Patterns

FILTERS

A Motion Decisions from William Alsup's Cases ⓘ

Granted Partial Denied

Motion Type ⓘ Analysis Total

Motion Decisions from	Granted	Partial	Denied	Total
motion to dismiss	50	20	24	94
motion for summary judgment	40	15	24	79
motion for leave	35	10	13	58
motion for remand	65	5	10	80
motion for stay	30	5	10	45
motion to strike	25	15	10	50
motion for default judgment	60	10	10	80
motion for reconsideration	20	10	10	40
motion to compe	15	10	10	35
motion for injunctive relief	10	10	10	30
motion for fees	15	10	10	35
motion for appointment	5	5	10	20
motion for judgment as a matter of law	10	5	10	25

B

C 908 cases where William Alsup ruled on a motion to dismiss ⓘ

- United States v. Brockman**
United States District Court, California Northern | Jan 4, 2021 | 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 499
Motions
motion for change of venue ❌ denied
motion to transfer ✅ granted
motion to dismiss ❌ denied
Decision Language
Order granting rule 21(b) motion to transfer ... For the same reasons, the motion to change venue under Section 3237(b) and Rule 7 is Denied. ... The motion to dismiss counts nine through fourteen is Denied as Moot..
- United States v. Jah**
United States District Court, California Northern | Dec 26, 2020 | 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 242913
Motions
motion to dismiss ❌ denied

Motion Language

- A** **Interactive motion outcomes chart:** Examine a judge's rulings on a specific motion type and view an associated case list for that motion type. Motion outcomes are based on opinions written by trial and court judges at both the federal and state levels. Context does not currently detect appellate reversals of trial court motion decisions.
- B** Analyzing the opinions written by a judge, Context identifies the occurrence of up to 100 motion types and analyzes the outcome of the motion (Granted, Partial or Denied ruling).
- C** View all cases where the judge ruled on a specific motion type and see the exact language used in the judge's decision alongside the motion outcome for that case.

JUDGE ANALYTICS CITATION PATTERNS

The screenshot shows the LexisNexis interface for Judge William Alsup. The top navigation bar includes the Context logo, a search bar with the placeholder text "Enter the name of an expert, an area of expertise, judge, cou", and a dropdown menu set to "All". The user profile for William Alsup, United States District Court, California Northern, is displayed. The "Analytics" tab is selected, and the "Citation Patterns" sub-tab is active. A "FILTERS" button is visible. The main content area is divided into two sections:

- Frequently Cited:** A horizontal bar chart showing the frequency of various cases cited by the judge. The top case is *Williams v. Taylor*, followed by *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, *Strickland v. Washington*, *Ashcroft v. Iqba*, *Eitel v. McCool*, *Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.*, *Celotex Corp. v. Catrett*, *Phillips v. AWH Corp.*, *Biggs v. Terhune*, and *Farmer v. Brennan*.
- William Alsup citing Williams v. Taylor:** A detailed view of the most common language used by the judge when citing *Williams v. Taylor*. It includes a "More Like This" link and two example sentences from other cases:
 - Citing Williams v. Taylor in Sully v. Ayers:** United States District Court, California Northern | May 20, 2008. The "contrary to" and "unreasonable application" clauses of Section 2254(d) have separate and distinct meanings. See Williams v. Taylor, 529 U.S. 362, 404, 120 S. Ct. 1495, 146 L. Ed. 2d 389 (2000). A state court's decision is "contrary to" clearly established federal law if it fails to apply the correct controlling authority or if it applies the controlling authority to a case involving facts materially indistinguishable from those in a controlling case, but nonetheless reaches a different result.
 - Citing Williams v. Taylor in Parle v. Runnels:** United States District Court, California Northern | Aug 31, 2006. That decision was objectively unreasonable under Chambers. Put another way, the state Court of Appeal decision was unreasonable when it failed to extend the legal principles of Chambers to the facts of the instant case. See Williams, 529 U.S. at 407, 409. The excluded evidence on the effects of a manic episode, on the victim's threats and

Citation Patterns

Citation Patterns give you the advantage of knowing the language, cases and judges that are most persuasive to your judge.

- A** **Frequently Cited Opinions and Judges:** View an interactive graphical display of the opinions and judges your judge cites most often.
- B** The right-hand display presents the most common language—exact sentences and phrases—from the opinion or judge selected, so you can easily copy and paste text into your motion or brief.

JUDGE ANALYTICS DOCUMENTS

The screenshot displays the LexisNexis interface for Judge Analytics. At the top, the user is logged in as William Alsop, United States District Court, California Northern. The 'Documents' tab is selected, showing 4629 results. A 'FILTERS' sidebar on the left allows narrowing results by content type (Cases, Dockets, etc.), search within results, court, timeline, subscription, and published status. A 'Sort By' dropdown menu is open, showing options like 'Date (Newest-Oldest)', 'Document Title (A-Z)', and 'Date (Oldest-Newest)'. A 'Create Report' button is visible in the top right.

Documents

- A** Without having to run additional searches, all available documents pertaining to your judge from across the Lexis or Lexis+ services are compiled automatically for you under the Documents tab. Advanced technology recognizes and tags each judge, yielding more precise results than a name search.
- B** Filters on the left-hand side of the screen work similarly to Lexis and allow you to narrow the results list.
- C** Sort related materials by Document Title, Court or Date.

EXPERT WITNESS ANALYTICS

SEARCH BY NAME OR BY AREA OF EXPERTISE

Whether you're looking to hire the best expert to testify on your behalf or building your case against opposing counsel, Context Expert Witness Analytics are your go-to resources with access to 400,000+ expert witness profiles. Search from 9,000+ areas of expertise to find the expert most relevant to your case.

The screenshot displays the Context Expert Witness Analytics interface. At the top, there is a navigation bar with the Context logo and user options: Client: -None-, History, Help, and More. Below the navigation bar, a search prompt asks, "Who would you like to analyze using language analytics?". A search bar contains the text "Internal" and a dropdown menu is open, showing "Internal Medicine" and "Internal Revenue Service". A red circle 'A' is placed over the search bar, and a red circle 'B' is placed over the dropdown menu. Below the search bar, there is a section titled "Experts matching area of expertise: Internal Medicine". This section has tabs for "Judges", "Experts", "Courts", and "Companies", with "Experts" selected. Below the tabs, there are filters for "By Name" and "By Area of Expertise". A "FILTERS" button is visible, along with a search filter for "Internal Medicine" and a "Sort By: Relevance" dropdown. A table of results is shown, with two rows. The first row is for "Daniels, Ronald Clifford M.D." and the second row is for "Freeman, Kevin Arthur M.D.". A red circle 'C' is placed over the first row, and a red circle 'D' is placed over the "State" filter dropdown. A red circle 'E' is placed over the "Area of Expertise" filter dropdown.

State	City	Name	Areas of Expertise	Hiring Party	Top Jurisdictions	Jury Verdicts & Settlements	Testimony & Reports	Challenges
97 Results		1. Daniels, Ronald Clifford M.D. Calistoga, CA	Internal Medicine, Infectious Diseases,...	Mostly Plaintiff	Los Angeles County	240	91	
		2. Freeman, Kevin Arthur M.D. Albany, NY	Internal Medicine, Clinical Pharmacology,...	Mostly Plaintiff	Albany County Supreme	322	66	

Search by Name or by Area of Expertise

- A** From the Context home page, select Expert from the drop-down menu and begin with a simple search if you already know your expert. If you're looking to identify an expert, input the area of expertise.
- B** The search word wheel displays matching areas of expertise and suggested areas of expertise to consider from our extensive database.
- C** Find and compare experts using Areas of Expertise, Hiring Party, Top Jurisdictions, Jury Verdicts & Settlements, Testimony & Reports and Challenges.
- D** Narrow results to match your case jurisdiction using post-search filters for State and City.
- E** Browse Area of Expertise to filter or expand results as you look for the precise expertise needed for your case.

EXPERT WITNESS ANALYTICS

Context Enter the name of an expert, an area of expertise, judge, court, attorney or company All Client: -None- History Help More

A **Dr. Jones M.D., F.A.C.C.**
Cardiovascular Diseases, Internal Medicine

Overview Analytics Documents **D** [Link to this page](#) **E** [Create Report](#)

Contact
Address: 800 N. 21st St. New York, NY 10021
Website: <http://www.nychealthandhospitals.org/bellevue>
Phone: 555-212-4596
Email: fname.lastname@email.com

Professional Experience
Bellevue Hospital Center
CLINICAL ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR
NYC School of Medicine

Licenses
Medicine Expert
NY

Certifications
CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE
American Board of Internal Medicine
Internal Medicine
American Board of Internal Medicine

B **CVs (16 Total)**
JONES, 2018 Curr. Vitae LEXIS 003
JONES, 2018 Curr. Vitae LEXIS 002
JONES, 2018 Curr. Vitae LEXIS 001

C **Hiring Party (1297 Cases)**
(based on Jury Verdicts and Settlements)
Plaintiff 97% Defendant 2% Other 1%

Cases per Year
(based on Jury Verdicts and Settlements)
Line chart showing cases per year from 1995 to 2015.

Case Experience by Area of Law
(based on Jury Verdicts and Settlements)
Torts: 551
Healthcare Law: 155
Criminal Law & Procedure: 100
Workers' Compensation & SSDI: 90
Estate, Gift & Trust Law: 20
[View 21 More](#)

Case Experience by Jurisdiction
(based on Jury Verdicts and Settlements)
Florida: 389
New York: 279
Pennsylvania: 155
Massachusetts: 98

Overview

An Overview appears by default when a particular expert is selected from the search results, showing a snapshot of the expert's experience and activity over time.

- A** Quickly view critical information about your expert, including Contact details, Hiring Party, Professional Experience, Licenses and Certifications.
- B** Access all curricula vitae the Lexis or Lexis+ services have available for your expert to identify changes over time which may indicate circumstances worth investigating, such as educational degrees or certificates that have been eliminated.
- C** See your expert's litigation experience, including Hiring Party, as well as number of Cases per Year. Also view practice areas and jurisdictions where your expert has testified.
- D** Link to this page to share this profile or revisit at a later time.
- E** Create a full or custom report to export and share expert analytics with colleagues.

EXPERT WITNESS ANALYTICS FEDERAL CHALLENGES

Context All Client: None History Help More

Dr. Jones M.D.,F.A.C.C.
Cardiovascular Diseases, Internal Medicine

Overview Analytics Documents D
[Link to this page](#) [Create Report](#)

Federal Challenges

Overall Challenges Outcome Analysis (4)

Admitted Admitted in Part Excluded

A 75% 25%

Challenges by Type

Cases	Methodology	Qualification	Relevance	Procedural	Outcome
Farley v. United States		●			●
Thornton v. Mitchell	●	●			●
Austin v. Deitch	●	●			●
Seery v. Krishnan		●			●

All Challenge Decisions(4)

- Farley v. United States**
United States District Court, New Hampshire | 2015-04-03
Decision
And, it should be noted that Dr. Jones was one of just two cardiologists who testified as expert witnesses in this case.
Grounds for Challenge: Qualifications
Disposition: Admitted in Part
- Thornton v. Mitchell**
United States District Court, Alabama Middle | 2018-07-09
Decision
Like Dr. Jones, Dr. Gandy similarly opines that a physician should have been informed of Mrs. Riley's medical circumstances, she should have been informed of the risks associated with delaying the procedure, and that Defendants failed to appropriately ensure Mrs. Riley's health issues and problems were addressed, considered, and handled during Dr. Mitchell's period of absence... The court finds that Dr. Jones and Gandy are appropriately qualified to offer expert medical testimony on these matters.
Grounds for Challenge: Methodology, Qualifications
Disposition: Admitted in Part
- Austin v. Deitch**
New Jersey Superior Court, Essex County | 2013-06-10
Decision
Having found Dr. Jones unqualified to testify as to the standard of care owed by a general surgeon to a patient in post-operative care, and the departure therefrom, plaintiffs are left without an expert witness and cannot meet their burden of proof in proving malpractice against both Dr. Deitch, and vicariously, against UMDNJ.
Grounds for Challenge: Methodology, Qualifications
Disposition: Excluded
- Seery v. Krishnan**
Florida Circuit Court, Seventh Judicial Circuit, Volusia County | 2004-12-20
Decision
Defendants may move forward in discovery by means of deposition or otherwise as to Plaintiff's expert witnesses, Dr. Bruce D. Charash to address his expertise in this case and more fully address the issue of prior disqualification(s) as a medical expert and/or limitation(s) of his prior testimony in courts of competent

Federal Challenges

- A** Expert witness Overall Challenges Outcome Analysis detects expert challenges in the federal district court in which a judge has made a ruling. How often the expert's testimony was Admitted, Admitted in Part or Excluded is presented in a quick-reference chart.
- B** View a breakdown of opinions depicting the grounds for each challenge: Methodology, Qualification, Relevance, Procedural and Outcome. An opinion will have no dots if the language does not indicate the grounds for challenge or is unclear when discussing the grounds.
- C** Explore All Challenge Decisions to see where your expert has testified, grounds for challenge(s) and specific reasons why a judge excluded or admitted the expert's testimony.
- D** Without having to run additional searches, all available Documents pertaining to your expert from across the Lexis and Lexis+ services are compiled automatically for you under the Documents tab. Advanced technology recognizes and tags each expert, yielding more precise results than a name search.

COURT ANALYTICS

Whether you're a plaintiff determining where to file your case or defense counsel considering a transfer of venue, Context Court Analytics quickly show how many cases like yours have been tried in a court and how the court ruled so you can build your best arguments using language proven to persuade your court.

United States District Court, California Northern
US

Overview Analytics Documents

Link to this page Create Report

A Court Information

Jurisdiction	General Jurisdiction
Type	Federal
Website	http://www.cand.uscourts.gov
Locations	Oakland Division, Ronald V. Dellums Federal Building 1301 Clay Street Suite 400 S Oakland CA US 94612 San Francisco Division, Phillip Burton Federal Building and U.S. Courthouse 450 Golden Gate Ave. 16th Floor San Francisco CA US 94102

Browse All Locations

B Opinions by Areas of Law (based on cases)

Civil Procedure	78484
Criminal Law & Procedure	29201
Civil Rights Law	20238
Constitutional Law	17973
Evidence	17337

View 5 More

Opinions Per Year (based on cases)

Line chart showing Opinions Per Year (based on cases) with a y-axis ranging from 5000 to 10000.

Active Judges

Name	Year Appointed

Overview

An Overview appears by default when a particular court is selected from the search results, showing a snapshot of the court's aggregated history.

- A** View high-level information about the court, including Jurisdiction, Type, Website, Locations and Active Judges.
- B** Quickly view the court's aggregated Opinions by Areas of Law and Opinions Per Year to understand the court's background and experience in similar cases.
- C** Link to this page to share this profile or revisit at a later time.
- D** Create a full or custom report to export and share court analytics with colleagues.

COURT ANALYTICS MOTION LANGUAGE

A Motion Decisions from United States District Court, California Northern's Cases

Legend: ■ Granted ■ Partial ■ Denied

Motion Type	Analysis	Total
motion to dismiss	~75%	20,214
motion for summary judgment	~50%	16,093
motion for leave	~50%	3,573
motion to strike	~50%	2,900
motion for remanc	~50%	2,564
motion to compe	~50%	2,550
motion for stay	~50%	2,138
motion for reconsiderator	~50%	1,779
motion for default judgment	~50%	1,631
motion for injunctive relief	~50%	1,610
motion for fees	~50%	1,600
motion for judgment as a matter of law	~50%	1,140

B

- Damner v. Facebook Inc.**
Dec 31, 2020 | 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 245384
Motions: motion to dismiss ✔ granted
Decision Language: Order granting motion to dismiss first amended complaint.
- Mulquin v. Therapeutics**
Dec 30, 2020 | 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 244841
Motions: motion to dismiss ✔ granted
Decision Language: The Court GRANTS Defendants' motion to dismiss all of Plaintiffs' claims.
- S&S Worldwide v. Wells Fargo Bank**
Dec 29, 2020 | 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 244833

C 18,234 cases where United States District Court, California Northern ruled on a motion to dismiss

Motion Language

- A** **Interactive motion outcomes chart:** Examine a court's rulings on a specific motion type and view an associated case list for that motion type. Motion outcomes are based on opinions written by trial and court judges at both the federal and state levels. Context does not currently detect appellate reversals of trial court motion decisions.
- B** Analyzing the opinions written by the court, Context identifies the occurrence of up to 100 motion types and analyzes the outcome of the motion (Granted, Partial or Denied ruling).
- C** View all cases where the court ruled on a specific motion type and see the exact language used in the judge's decision alongside the motion outcome for that case.

COURT ANALYTICS CITATION PATTERNS

The screenshot displays the LexisNexis Court Analytics interface for the United States District Court, California Northern. The top navigation bar includes the 'Context' logo, a search bar, and options for 'Client: -None-', 'History', 'Help', and 'More'. The main header identifies the court as 'United States District Court, California Northern US'. Below this, there are tabs for 'Overview', 'Analytics', and 'Documents', with 'Analytics' being the active tab. A 'Link to this page' and 'Create Report' button are also visible.

The 'Citation Patterns' section is active, showing a 'Motion Language' dropdown and a 'FILTERS' button. The 'Frequently Cited' section features a bar chart with two tabs: 'Opinions' (selected) and 'Judges'. A red circle 'A' highlights the 'Opinions' tab. The chart lists the following cases and their relative frequency:

Case Name	Relative Frequency (Bar Length)
Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly	High (Longest bar)
Williams v. Taylor	Medium-High
Celotex Corp. v. Catrett	Medium
Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.	Medium
Ashcroft v. Iqbal	Medium
Strickland v. Washington	Medium
Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't	Medium-Low
Farmer v. Brennan	Low-Medium
West v. Atkins	Low-Medium
Phillips v. AWH Corp.	Low

The right-hand panel shows a detailed view of a citation pattern for 'United States District Court, California Northern citing Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly'. A red circle 'B' highlights the text of the opinion. The text reads: 'To survive a motion to dismiss under Rule 12(b)(6), the plaintiff must allege "enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 570, 127 S. Ct. 1955, 167 L. Ed. 2d 929 (2007). This "facial plausibility" standard requires the plaintiff to allege facts that add up to "more than a sheer possibility that a defendant has acted unlawfully."' Below the text is a 'More Like This' link. Another citation pattern is partially visible below, for 'Wortham v. Waldura'.

Citation Patterns

Citation Patterns give you the advantage of knowing the language, cases and judges that are most persuasive to your court.

- A** **Frequently Cited Opinions and Judges:** View an interactive graphical display of the opinions and judges your court cites most often.
- B** The right-hand display presents the most common language—exact sentences and phrases—from the opinion or judge selected, so you can easily copy and paste text into your motion or brief.

COURT ANALYTICS DOCUMENTS

The screenshot displays the LexisNexis Court Analytics interface for the United States District Court, California Northern US. The top navigation bar includes a search bar with the placeholder text "Enter the name of an expert, an area of expertise, judge, c..." and a dropdown menu set to "All". The main header shows the court name and "US". Below the header are navigation tabs for "Overview", "Analytics", and "Documents" (highlighted with a red circle 'A'). To the right of the tabs are links for "Link to this page" and "Create Report".

A "FILTERS" sidebar is visible on the left, with a red circle 'B' highlighting the "FILTERS" button. The sidebar lists various content types with counts: Cases (96690), Dockets (280649), Jury Verdicts & Settlements (4885), Administrative Materials (1565), Briefs, Pleadings, and Motions (1157522), Jury Instructions (493), Expert Witness Materials (9963), Secondary Materials (596), Directories (837), and Legal News (3051). Below the filters are sections for "Search Within Results", "Timeline", "Subscription", "Published Status", and "Source", each with a dropdown arrow.

The main content area shows "96690 Results" and a "Sort By:" dropdown menu (highlighted with a red circle 'C') with options: "Date (Newest-Oldest)", "Document Title (A-Z)", "Document Title (Z-A)", "Date (Oldest-Newest)", and "Date (Newest-Oldest)". The results list includes the following entries:

1. [Wade v. Gilliland, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6890](#)
United States District Court for the Northern District of California | Jan 13, 2021 | 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6890
2. [Tolentino v. Gillig, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6905](#)
United States District Court for the Northern District of California | Jan 13, 2021 | 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6905
3. [Tammie C. v. Saul, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6936](#)
United States District Court for the Northern District of California | Jan 13, 2021 | 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6936
4. [Stanley v. Ayers, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6935](#)
United States District Court for the Northern District of California | Jan 13, 2021 | 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6935
5. [Shaw v. Zhen, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6895](#)
United States District Court for the Northern District of California | Jan 13, 2021 | 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6895
6. [Nathalie Thuy Van v. Wal-Mart Stores, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6901](#)
United States District Court for the Northern District of California | Jan 13, 2021 | 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6901
7. [Lopez v. Findley, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6889](#)
United States District Court for the Northern District of California | Jan 13, 2021 | 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6889

Documents

- A** Without having to run additional searches, all available documents pertaining to your court from across the Lexis and Lexis+ services are compiled automatically for you under the Documents tab.
- B** Filters on the left-hand side of the screen work similarly to Lexis, and allow you to narrow the results list.
- C** Sort related materials by Document Title or Date.

COMPANY ANALYTICS

Whether you need to understand the current landscape your clients are facing or dig deeply into a company you are litigating against to uncover which motions they are likely to file, who will represent them or what a reasonable settlement may be, Context Company Analytics can help. It is the only solution to bring litigation, financial and news information together into robust analytical company profiles.

Overview

An Overview appears by default when a particular company is selected from the search results, showing a snapshot of the company's aggregated history.

- A** View high-level information about the company, including Address, Type, DUNS® number, Employees, contact information and company Hierarchy.
- B** Quickly view the company's aggregated Litigation Events by Representation, Court and Practice Area to understand the company's litigation history.
- C** Set up a Lexis® CourtLink® alert for notification of new docket activity related to the company.
- D** Get a high-level view of the company's financials for the last fiscal year and an overview of its stock performance over time.
- E** See major News trends affecting the company.
- F** Create a full or custom report to export and share company analytics with colleagues.

COMPANY ANALYTICS LITIGATION ANALYTICS

Ford Motor Company
Dearborn, Michigan

Overview **Analytics** People Documents [CourtLink alert](#) [Create Report](#)

Litigation News Storylines

FILTERS

Litigation involving Ford Motor Company

Representation	Practice Area	Court	Count
A Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.	B	C	347
Bowman and Brooke LLP			291
O'Melveny & Myers			232
Baker & Hostetler LLP			219
Shook, Hardy & Bacon L.L.P.			205
Huie, Fernambucq & Stewart, LLP			200
Dykema			183
Gordon & Rees LLP			178
McGuireWoods LLP			159
Campbell, Conroy & O'Neil			158

Snell & Wilmer L.L.P. representing Ford Motor Company (347)

- D** [In re Ford Motor Co. Dps6 Powershift Transmission Prods. Liab. Litig.](#)
United States District Court, California Central | 2020-12-30 | 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 245049
- [In re Ford Motor Co. Dps6 Powershift Transmission Prods. Liab. Litig.](#)
United States District Court, California Central | 2020-12-30 | 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 245061
- [In re Ford Motor Co. DPS6 Powershift Transmission Prods. Liab. Litig.](#)
United States District Court, California Central | 2020-12-01 | 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 226708
- [Raven v. Ford Motor Co.](#)
United States District Court, California Central | 2020-10-06 | 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 185776
- [Altikriti v. Ford Motor Co.](#)
United States District Court, California Central | 2020-10-06 | 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 185775

Litigation Analytics

- A** **Interactive Representation chart:** See which law firms have represented the company and how often. Click on any of them to view the associated case list for that law firm.
- B** **Interactive Practice Area chart:** Understand how often the company has been involved in litigation in a practice area. Click on any of the practice areas to view the associated case list for that practice area.
- C** **Interactive Court chart:** View the courts the company has been a litigant in. Click on any court to view the associated case list for that court.
- D** View all cases associated with the selected Representation, Practice Area or Court.

COMPANY ANALYTICS NEWS ANALYTICS

Context Enter the name of an expert, an area of expertise, judge, c All Client: -None- History Help More

Ford Motor Company
Dearborn, Michigan

Overview **Analytics** People Documents CourtLink alert Create Report

Litigation News Storylines

156 Storylines 361 News Articles Sort By: Volume

A

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Defective Fuel Pump 55 Articles See 4 related lawsuits					
Ford Truck Emissions RICO Case 9 Articles					
Faulty Screen Row 9 Articles					
Vehicle Defect Suits 9 Articles					
3 Oldest Ford Warranty Suits 8 Articles					
Sex Harassment Suit					

B

Defective Fuel Pump

News Articles Related Lawsuits

55 Articles

- FORD MOTOR: Ohanians Sue over Defective Automobiles**
03/15/2018 | [Class Action Reporter](#)
CHARLES OHANIAN AND SCOTT OHANIAN V. FORD MOTOR COMPANY, FUTUREFORD, et al., Case No. 18CECG00190 (Cal. Super., Jan. 16, 2018), seek to recover damages from Ford Motor Company for selling defective automobiles and fraudulently concealing the alleged defects to the Plaintiffs.
- Texas Ambulance Co. Sues Ford Over Defective Driveshafts**
07/09/2018 | [Law360](#)
A Texas-based ambulance company is taking on Ford Motor Company in a proposed class action, claiming the manufacturer knew an engine part in Ford Transit vans was dangerously defective years before it issued a safety recall on the vehicles.
- FORD THAILAND: Court OKs Powershift Transmission Class Action**
07/17/2018 | [Class Action Reporter](#)
Bangkok Post reports that the Civil Court has accepted a class action case against a subsidiary of Ford Thailand filed by 308 car owners for 24 million baht in damages.
- Ford Accused Of Hiding Focus, Fiesta Transmission Defect**
08/17/2018 | [Law360](#)

News Analytics

- A** **Interactive News Storylines:** See the news trends affecting a particular company, how often the company has been in the news for that topic and the related lawsuits. Click on a storyline to view the associated News Articles or Related Lawsuits.
- B** View related News Articles and Related Lawsuits to easily understand a company's historic and most recent news and litigation risks.

COMPANY ANALYTICS DOCUMENTS

The screenshot displays the LexisNexis interface for Ford Motor Company. At the top, there is a search bar with the text "Enter the name of an expert, an area of expertise, judge, or..." and a dropdown menu set to "All". The main header shows the company name "Ford Motor Company" and location "Dearborn, Michigan". Below this are navigation tabs: Overview, Analytics, People, and Documents (highlighted with callout A). A "CourtLink alert" icon and a "Create Report" button are also visible.

The "Documents" section features a "FILTERS" sidebar (callout B) with the following options:

- Content Type:
 - Cases: 92091
 - Dockets: 79911
 - Jury Verdicts & Settlements: 2643
 - Company And Financials: 19570
 - Intellectual Property: 8780
 - Legal News: 284
 - News: 194586
- Search Within Results
- Court
- Timeline
- Subscription
- Published Status
- Source

The main results area shows 92091 results. A "Sort By" dropdown menu (callout C) is open, displaying the following options:

- Date (Newest - Oldest)
- Document Title (A-Z)
- Document Title (Z-A)
- Court (Lowest - Highest)
- Court (Highest - Lowest)
- Date (Oldest - Newest)
- Date (Newest - Oldest)

The search results list includes the following entries:

- [Sandoval v. Cty. of San Diego, 2021 U.S. App. LEXIS 866](#)
United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit | Jan 13, 2021 | 2021 U.S. App. LEXIS 866
- [Cleveland Bros. Equip. Co. v. Vorobey, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6315](#)
United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania | Jan 13, 2021 | 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6315
- [Brown v. Dep't of Corr., 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6407](#)
United States District Court for the District of Connecticut | Jan 13, 2021 | 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6407
- [Brown v. Dep't of Corr., 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6077](#)
United States District Court for the District of Connecticut | Jan 13, 2021 | 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6077
- [Montgomery v. Watson, 2021 U.S. App. LEXIS 746](#)
United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit | Jan 12, 2021 | 2021 U.S. App. LEXIS 746
- [LM Ins. Corp. v. Circle T, Ltd., 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5302](#)
United States District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi, Southern Division | Jan 12, 2021 | 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5302
- [In re Syngenta Ag Mir 162 Corn Litig., This Document Relates to All Cases Except: the DeLong Co., 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5431](#)
United States District Court for the District of Kansas | Jan 12, 2021 | 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5431

Documents

- A** Without having to run additional searches, all available documents pertaining to your company from across the Lexis and Lexis+ services are compiled automatically for you under the Documents tab.
- B** Filters on the left-hand side of the screen work similarly to Lexis, and allow you to narrow the results list.
- C** Sort related materials by Document Title, Court or Date.

ATTORNEY ANALYTICS

Deep dive into an attorney's experience in court, the arguments they have used and the outcomes of those arguments with Context Attorney Analytics. With these powerful insights, you can anticipate how opposing counsel will argue your case to then neutralize their argument and build your strongest argument to stand up against it.

Other Experience

- Member
Locke Lord LLP
- Liddell, Sapp, Zivley, Hill & LaBoon, L.L.P.

Education

- 1987 Texas Tech University
B.B.A.
- 1992 The University of Texas School of Law
J.D.

Admissions

- US Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit
- US District Court for the Southern District of Texas
- US District Court for the Northern District of Texas
- US District Court for the Eastern District of Texas
- US District Court for the Western District of Texas
- US Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit
- US Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit
- 1992 Texas Supreme Court

Documents

Cases	248
Dockets	172
Jury Verdicts & Settlements	3
Briefs, Pleadings, and Motions	252

Litigation Events by Areas of Law
(based on briefs, pleadings & motions)

Civil Procedure	147
Business & Corporate Compliance	93
Contracts Law	83
Constitutional Law	57
Banking Law	55

Litigation Events by Court
(based on briefs, pleadings & motions)

United States District Court, New York Southern	114
United States District Court, Texas Eastern	21
United States District Court, Texas Northern	12
United States District Court, Illinois Northern	11
United States Court Of Appeals, Second Circuit	7

Overview

An Overview appears by default when a particular attorney is selected from the search results, showing a snapshot of the attorney's experience and activity over time.

- A** View high-level information about the attorney, including Experience, Education and Court Admissions.
- B** Quickly view the attorney's experience and background with different Areas of Law and Courts.
- C** Link to this page to share this profile or revisit at a later time.
- D** Create a full or custom report to export and share attorney analytics with colleagues.

ATTORNEY ANALYTICS ARGUMENTS

The screenshot shows the LexisNexis interface for an attorney's arguments. At the top, there is a search bar with the text "Enter the name of an expert, an area of expertise, judge, court, attorney or company" and a dropdown menu set to "All". Below the search bar, the user's profile "Roger Cowie, Locke Lord LLP" is displayed. The main navigation includes "Overview", "Arguments", and "Documents". A "Filters" sidebar on the left contains dropdown menus for "Filter by Keyword", "Court", "Timeline", "Practice Areas & Topics", "Attorney", and "Judge". The main content area shows search results for "JOINT REPLY MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR PARTIAL DISMISSAL OF THE SCHWAB AND DORAL". Each result includes a snippet of text and a "BRIEF" button. Red callouts A, B, C, and D are placed over the interface to highlight key features.

Arguments

See all of an attorney's arguments, in one place, to understand how they have handled similar cases in the past.

- A** Filter an attorney's arguments by Keyword, Court, Timeline, Practice Areas & Topics, Attorney and Judge to narrow the results to the cases of most interest to you.
- B** View a list of an attorney's arguments.
- C** Quickly identify key topics within the argument.
- D** Click Brief to be taken to the full text of the Brief.

ATTORNEY ANALYTICS DOCUMENTS

The screenshot displays the LexisNexis Attorney Analytics interface for Roger Cowie at Locke Lord LLP. The page is titled "Documents" and shows a list of 248 results. The interface includes a search bar at the top, a navigation menu with "Overview", "Arguments", and "Documents" (the active tab), and a "FILTERS" section on the left. The filters section includes options for Content Type, Search Within Results, Court, Timeline, Subscription, Published Status, Source, Practice Areas & Topics, Attorney, Law Firm, and Citations. The main content area displays a list of documents with details such as title, court, and date. A "Sort By" dropdown menu is visible, set to "Date (Newest - Oldest)".

Documents

- A** Without having to run additional searches, all available documents pertaining to the attorney from across the Lexis service are compiled automatically for you under the Documents tab.
- B** Filters on the left-hand side of the screen work similarly to Lexis and allow you to narrow the results list.
- C** Sort related materials by Document Title, Court or Date.

Sign in:
Lexis.com

Learn more:
LexisNexis.com/Context

Contact your LexisNexis® representative
for more information.